

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

DONALD CALVIN,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
WHATCOM COUNTY, *et al.*,  
Defendants.

No. C07-273RSL

**ORDER CONTINUING MOTION FOR  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

This matter comes before the Court on plaintiff's "Motion for Brief Continuance of 'Deadline' Date for Submission of Amended Response to Motion for/and Hearing on Summary Judgment," Dkt. #98. Plaintiff contends that an important document central to his case was discovered on May 28, 2009, but the attorney whose help he requires to explain the document and prepare a statement about it is not available before June 8 or 9. *Id.* at 3. Plaintiff believes that "the Court will find this paper *very* helpful in determining who is telling the truth, and just what the circumstances were." *Id.* at 4 (emphasis in original). Defendants oppose plaintiff's motion. Dkt. #97.

Assuming that this document is in fact central to plaintiff's case, and giving this *pro se* plaintiff every benefit of the doubt, the Court will continue defendants' motion for summary

**ORDER CONTINUING MOTION FOR  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 1**

1 judgment for two weeks. Plaintiff's amended response must be filed with the Court **no later**  
2 **than Monday, June 15, 2009**, and defendants' amended reply, if any, is due **no later than the**  
3 **note date of Friday, June 19, 2009**. However, plaintiff should expect no further continuances  
4 in this case.

5 The Court therefore GRANTS plaintiff's motion for a brief continuance (Dkt. #98). The  
6 Clerk of the Court is directed to renote defendants' motion for summary judgment (Dkt. #31) for  
7 June 19, 2009.

8  
9  
10 DATED this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of June, 2009.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15

16   
17 Robert S. Lasnik  
18 United States District Judge  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

ORDER CONTINUING MOTION FOR  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 2